

Anti - Government: That Negative Label

J.J. Johnson - Posted: 07.17.00

It's been bothering me for a long time, and perhaps now is the best time to get it out on the table. It's this tired, worn-out label of Anti-Government. We're all sick of being labeled that from the left. But I'm even more sick of watching people trip over themselves trying to defend a charge that doesn't even exist.

Anti-Government is the term used against a pro-constitutional citizen when there is no other negative label they can think of. It's usually done by the State-Sponsored Media who work under the rule that "if we say something enough times, people will just believe it," even if it makes no sense whatsoever.

When making an analysis of this mess, we must first do what the name-callers have never done - come up with a definition of the term itself. According to my dictionary, "anti-government" should be defined as the opposite of "pro-government". So if we look at where the State-Sponsored Media, U.S. Prosecutors, etc. have used the label so effectively, we must conclude that "pro-government" means:

- 1) Supporting the government's God-given right to burn parishioners and run them over with tanks. After all, it's in the law there somewhere.
- 2) The government having a log of all bank transactions you've made is fine, since you've got nothing to hide, anyway.
- 3) You feel better knowing that your government feels the need to tap everyone's phone, stop any car at random, and even go into anyone's home (and their computer files) without a warrant. After all, you have no reason not to trust them, do you? And like before, you have nothing to hide.
- 4) If a plane blows up in mid-air, and your government tells you that a center fuel tank exploded (with no proof whatsoever,) you'll ignore the 100+ credible witness who saw a missile head toward the doomed aircraft and rest easier knowing that your government was there to help you.
- 5) Protecting your national borders, something that every other sovereign country does, is obviously a racist principle here, all immigrants are entitled to all U.S. benefits - unless they're white (non-liberal, of course,) Arab, or six-year old Cubans.
- 6) You gleefully pay taxes every year, knowing full well that your hard earned money is used to pay for it all.

I could go on.

Those who are labeled "anti-government" do not fall in this category. Still, they are the ones who are openly discriminated against in courts, business, and in public opinion.

There is no law that says a person must be pro- or anti-government. These days, there are few reasons to be "pro-government." Think about how many people have tried in just the last 10 years to "work within the system" only to find themselves "de-virginized" the next day. This should be an important lesson for those who like to use that term. But more important, think about how and why so many people now meet the "anti-government" criteria.

Come to think of it, what is the criteria, anyway?

For the reader who happens to stumble across this article, you may be thinking that "anti-government" is a bad thing. It's been burned into your memory ever since April 19, 1995. To you it means people who HATE the federal government, and refuse to follow its laws. You probably think that such sociopaths are responsible for things such as the Oklahoma City Bombing. You don't need any proof since you've been hearing it over and over again from the talking heads on those TV shows, right?

There is no sense going over the who-dunits of Oklahoma City. Doing that would just throw the author into the "conspiracy theory" camp as well. Also a bad thing, correct?

Here's what the State-Sponsored Media won't tell you - questions you should be asking yourself: How do these people make a living? Why do they hate government so much? Why don't they think like everyone else?

You see, most of them used to. But unfortunately, these "anti-government" folks still retain the art of "reason" in their brains. For years, we were taught to be "patriotic Americans." Oops - "patriot" is now a bad word, too.

We were taught and believed that this country was a nation of laws, and not of men. We were also taught that without a little "anti-government" attitude, we would all still be subjects of the British Crown.

For years, we've all tried to reason to ourselves why our government behaves the way that it does. We listened to the statements they were making on television and radio, and tried to believe they were making sense. In some cases, they do, but that changes when tomorrow's explanation by the government is contradictory to yesterday's explanation. Time after time, it stopped making sense to all of us. It's those of us who finally decided that $2 + 2$ does equal four - even if a CNN Gallop poll shows that 64% of the nation thinks it equals 5.

Of course, some will say. "J.J., that's being really condescending." Let me give you just one example:

I was a guest on a recent syndicated radio talk show. The subject was the Western Rebellion against the "Roadless Initiative." The new buzz word for the people who are against the roadless initiative is "anti-government," even though most of the opposition comes from governments (state and local.) No matter. One of the phone calls that came in during that show was a gentleman from Santa Fe, New Mexico. He, of course, called me anti-government, and thought not only should there be no more roads built in the West, but many other roads (especially asphalt ones) should be eliminated.

Remember: He's from New Mexico - the state that's still dealing with one of the worst government-created forest fires in its history.

I asked this person (on the air) if he would like us to start with Interstate 25, that runs through his town.

He thought I was being ridiculous. And I was again being "anti-government."

Actually, I was merely responding to the absolutely idiotic comment he just made, but he could not understand it. He just went on a tirade calling me names. This person has, in short, lost the ability to reason.

But he's not considered to be anti-government.

There are those of you "mainstream" people (what the heck does that mean?) who are still uncomfortable around anyone who is labeled "anti-government." So, as you go through life making a

point to avoid such people, we'll help you by showing you where you won't find "anti-government" folks:

You won't find them taxing you into involuntary servitude;

You won't find them telling anyone that you're too stupid to make your own decisions and if necessary, defend yourself against criminal behavior;

You won't find them making you do endless paperwork just to satisfy some strange, new regulation;

You won't find them telling you who you can and cannot hire;

You won't find them trying to police your thoughts;

You won't find them trying to destroy your job or business through endless litigation;

And, we won't be installing cameras at every corner to keep an eye on you.

Nor will we be trying to peek at your e-mail, your phone calls or making your country an enemy to half the world's population.

Those are the other guys - the "mainstream" people.

In conclusion, being anti-government is nothing to be ashamed of. In fact, it is a label that should be worn with pride. Being anti-government means that you actually dare to use your brain for something other than as a propaganda receptacle. It means that you hope for the best, and prepare for the worst. It means that you have faith in knowing that you'll be on the right side of history. It means that you are morally opposed to murders, liars, thieves, etc (You know, those bad things Mama told you about?) It means that you can state your case without calling names, demonizing, or even terrorizing your opposition.

That's what the other guys do - the "pro-government," "mainstream" types.

Such ill-behavior can and should be expected of such people. Remember: They do not have (or have lost) the ability to reason. The ability to reason is the primary trait that makes humans supreme over other animals on the planet. Thus we must conclude that those who are not "anti-government" and are in fact "pro-government" must be, by definition . . .

Sub - human.

Now, you wouldn't want to be associated with sub-humans, would you?